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## ReVerb

## $\arg _{1} \longleftarrow$ relation $\longrightarrow \arg _{2}$ <br> $V|V P| V W^{*} P$

## WOE, TextRunner*


*NOTE: WOE ${ }^{\text {pos }}$ uses this information to train a classifier, as does TextRunner. Only WOE ${ }^{\text {parse }}$ uses it during extraction.

## Many Systems, One Product: Tuples

(arg1 , relation , arg2)

## Extractions



## \#1: Relations w/o Verbs

"Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates ..."
(Bill Gates, be co-founder of, Microsoft)

## \#2: Relation/Argument Order

After winning the election, Obama celebrated.
(Obama, win, the election)

## \#3: Non-Contiguous Elements

There are plenty of taxis available at Bali airport.
(taxis, be available at, Bali airport)

## \#4: Nested Relations

Early astronomers believed that the earth is the center of the universe.
((the Earth, be the center of, the universe)
AttributedTo believe, Early astronomers)

If he makes this shot, Tiger Woods will win the championship.
((Tiger Woods, will win, the championship)
ClausalModifier if, he makes this shot)

OLLIE uses deep syntactic analysis to extract these new relations, and uses a new form of representation when appropriate.

## Information Density and Emphasis

- Many ways to encode information textually
- $\frac{\text { relations }}{\text { sentence }}>1$

Bill Gates is the co-founder of Microsoft. Bill Gates is a billionaire. Bill Gates owns a dog named Bucks.

## VS.

Microsoft co-founder, Bill Gates, who is a billionaire, owns a dog named Bucks.
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## Seed Tuples



## Seed Tuple, Example


(Obama, win, the election)

## Training Data



## Bootstrap, Example



Many pundits expect Obama to win the election. (Obama, win, the election)

## Creating an Open Pattern

1. Extract path
2. Annotate relation node with word and POS
3. Normalize copula

$\{O b a m a\} \uparrow$ nsubj $\uparrow$ \{win:postag=VB\} $\downarrow$ dobj $\downarrow\{$ the election $\}$

## Slot Node

## A node on the dependency path that isn't part of the extraction



## Can We De-Lexicalize?

If all of the following:
NO slot node on path
Relation node between arguments
Preposition ${ }_{\text {pattern }}=$ Preposition $_{\text {tuple }}$
Path has no nn or amod edges
Then: syntactic pattern
Else: lexical/semantic pattern

## Purely Syntactic Patterns

Aggressively generalize:

- Relations, remove lexical constraints
- Prepositions, convert to \{prep_*\}

Consider sentences:

1. "Michael appeared on Oprah..."
2. "... when Alexander the Great advanced to Babylon."

Both have the pattern:
$\{\arg 1\} \uparrow$ nsubj $\uparrow\{$ rel:postag=VBD $\} \downarrow\{$ prep_* $\downarrow \downarrow\{\arg 2\}$

## Lexical/Semantic Patterns, Example

"Microsoft co-founder Bill Gates..."
(Bill Gates, is co-founder of, Microsoft)
"Chicago Symphony Orchestra"*
(Orchestra, is symphony of, Chicago)*

Can we still generalize to unseen words?

## Lexical/Semantic Patterns

People $=$ WordNet's People class
Location = WordNet's Location class
L = list of lexical items
$I_{\text {people }}=L \cap$ People
$I_{\text {location }}=L \cap$ Location
If $I_{\text {people }}\left(\right.$ or $\left.I_{\text {location }}\right)>3 / 4^{*}$ :
Then: Use $I_{\text {people, }} \operatorname{drop} L$ (Use $I_{\text {location }}$ )
Else: Keep L

## Some Open Pattern Templates

| Extraction Template | Open Pattern |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. (argl; be \{rel\} \{prep\}; arg2) | $\{$ arg 1\} $\dagger$ nsubjpass $\uparrow$ \{rel:postag=VBN\} $\downarrow$ \{prep_* $\downarrow \downarrow$ \{arg2\} |
| 2. (argl; \{rel\}; arg2) | $\{\arg 1\} \dagger$ nsubj $\dagger$ \{rel:postag=VBD $\} \downarrow$ dobj $\downarrow$ \{arg2\} |
| 3. (argl; be \{rel\} by; arg2) | \{arg 1\} $\dagger$ nsubjpass $\uparrow$ \{rel:postag=VBN\} $\downarrow$ agent $\downarrow$ \{arg2\} |
| 4. (argl; be \{rel\} of; arg2) | \{rel:postag=NN;type=Person\} $\dagger$ nn $\dagger$ \{argl\} $\downarrow$ nn $\downarrow\{\arg 2\}$ |
| 5. (argl; be \{rel\} \{prep\}; arg2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \{arg 1\} } \uparrow \text { nsubjpass } \uparrow \text { \{slot:postag=VBN;lex Gannounce\|name\|choose...\} } \\ & \downarrow \text { dobj } \downarrow \text { \{rel:postag=NN }\} \downarrow \text { prep- } *\} \downarrow\{\arg 2\} \end{aligned}$ |

## Open Pattern Template Statistics

## PatternType Average Rank Frequency

Lexical/Semantic
344
515
Purely Syntactic
186
114

## Grand Total

629

## Lexical Constraint Statistics

Average of Length


## Extraction



## Pattern Matching

1. Apply Pattern Template
2. Expand on relevant edges
e.g. "election" $\rightarrow$ "the election" (det)
3. Use word order from sentence to make tuple

## Context Analysis

- Attribution
- Marked by ccomp edges
- E.g. "He says that you like to swim" (says, like)
- Communication/cognition verbs, e.g. 'believe'
- Clausal Modifier: when dependent clause modifies main extraction
- Marked by advcl
- "The accident occurred as night fell" (occurred, fell)
- If, when, although, because ...
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## Speed: Conflicting Reports

| System | Sent/sec | ReportedIn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OLLIE | 89 | OLLIE |
| ReVerb | 104 | ReVerb |
| TextRunner | 662 | TextRunner |
| TextRunner | 79 | ReVerb |
| TextRunner | 2727 | WOE |
| WOE $_{\text {parse }}$ | 3 | ReVerb |
| WOE $_{\text {parse }}$ | 88 | WOE |
| WOE $_{\text {pos }}$ | 79 | ReVerb |
| WOE $_{\text {pos }}$ | 2727 | WOE |

## A Less Precise Consensus


parseTime vs. extractTime


## Precision vs. Yield



- 300 Sentences, selected randomly from News, Wikipedia and a Biology textbook
- Hand corrected extractions by multiple humans


## Comparing Comparisons

## Precision Yield

vs. $\frac{\text { Precision }}{\text { Recall }}$

- Requires full set
- Allows false negative detection


## Noun-Mediated Relations

| Relation | OLLIE | REVERB | incr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is capital of | 8,566 | 146 | 59 x |
| is president of | 21,306 | 1,970 | 11 x |
| is professor at | 8,334 | 400 | 21 x |
| is scientist of | 730 | 5 | 146 x |

"Obama, the president of the US"
"Obama, the US president"
>> "Obama is the president of the US" "US President Obama"

## OLLIE vs. SRL

|  | LUND | OLLIE | union |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Verb relations | $0.58(0.69)$ | $0.49(0.55)$ | $0.71(0.83)$ |
| Noun relations | $0.07(0.33)$ | $0.13(0.13)$ | $0.20(0.33)$ |
| All relations | $0.54(0.67)$ | $0.47(0.52)$ | $0.67(0.80)$ |

- SRL performs well
- Bad at grammatical complexity
- OLLIE deals with co-reference better
- Noun-mediated relations are harder, rarer
- Union is higher than both: everybody wins!


## Sources of Error

## Source of Error \%

## Parser Error <br> 32

## Aggressive generalization <br> 18

Incorrect application of lexical pattern

12
Missed Context
13
Limitations of Binary
Representation
12

## Illuminating Errors

- The rotation of the planet causes it to take the shape of an oblate spheroid; that is, it is flattened at the poles and bulges at the equator. ${ }^{1}$
- (it, is flattened at, the poles and bulges)*
- Saturn is the only planet of the Solar System that is less dense than water--about 30\% less. ${ }^{2}$
- (Saturn, is the only planet of, the Solar System)*
- I shot the man with the gun.
- (I, shot the man with, the gun)*
- ( I , shot, the man)*
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saturn ${ }^{1}$
http://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saturn ${ }^{2}$


## Two Observations About Language

1. In English, words can act in groups - I like ice cream. Do you (like ice cream)?

- I like ice cream and hate bananas.
- I said I would hit Fred and hit Fred I did.

2. Words also depend on other words by

- Verbs have agents, objects, etc.
- I (subj) throw (verb) the (det) ball (obj)

Phrase

Driven

Grammar

Dependency Grammar

Neither approach is perfect.
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## Conclusions, Methodology

- How big must a sample be in order to be representative?
- Bootstrapping hypothesis, only 100
- 50 sentences in SRL comparison
- 'Gold standard’ annotation (support recall)
- Potentially more reliable inter-system comparison
- "Hey look, our system is better! What are the odds!"
- Better false negative detection
- Ahem ... grad students are cheap.


## Conclusion, Theoretical

- Generalization Techniques
- Syntactic: a bit too aggressive
- Lexical/Semantic: a bit too tame
- Many other options. See Angeli, Gabor, and Manning 2013
- OLLIE lives and dies by its parser
- Responsible for sig. \% of errors
- Accounts of sig. \% of time
- Relations still assumed binary
- Many are n-ary, have optional arguments
- See KrakeN, ClausIE
- Contextual Relations are limited, flawed
- What really are relations, anyway?


## Our Work Isn't Done



Words are not bags of characters:
Opposites, synonyms, entailment, classes ...

Sentences are not bags of words:
Syntactic structure, semantic frames ....

Are documents bags of sentences?
Coreference disambiguation
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## GitHub

This repository * Search or type a command
(3)

Explore Features Enterprise Blog
Sign up

PUBLIC $\square$ knowitall / ollie

```
& branch: master - ollie / Commits
```


## Nov 18, 2013

```
7. Merge pull request #22 from mlotstein/master ...
```

```
5ca8c4ec15
```

Nov 17, 2013


Update README.md

```
e04b3b49a7
```

schmmd authored 16 days ago
Browse code $\Rightarrow$

Nov 15, 2013

- . Fix package name in command line call in README file ...

4 f 5 cb 51 d 6 f
mlotstein authored 18 days ago
Browse code $\Rightarrow$
Merge pull request \#21 from mlotstein/master ...
schmmd authored 18 days ago
6 d 76094088
Browse code $\Rightarrow$
\#- Fixed import statements in Java Example File ...
mlotstein authored 18 days ago

## Confidence Function

- Top Positive Features:
- nn edges in pattern 0.91
- rel contains verb 0.48
- openparse confidence 0.43
- Top Negative Features:
- if right before arg1 -1.22
- vacuous extraction -0.64
- semantic constraints in pattern -0.43

