# Efficient Route Planning SS 2012

Lecture 8, Wednesday July 27<sup>th</sup>, 2012 (Transit Node Routing)

> Prof. Dr. Hannah Bast Chair of Algorithms and Data Structures Department of Computer Science University of Freiburg

## Overview of this lecture

#### Organizational

- Feedback and results for Exercise Sheet 7 (CH, part 2)
- Transit Node Routing (TNR)
  - Last algorithm (in this course) for routing on **road** networks
  - One of the (algorithmically) fastest one to date
  - Very simple idea + very simple query algorithm
  - Various possibilities for the pre-computation ... we will look at one based on Contraction Hierarchies
  - Historically TNR came two years before CH
  - Exercise Sheet 8: Implement a part of TNR

Z

## Feedback on Ex. Sheet 7 (CH, Part 2)

- Summary / excerpts last checked June 27, 10:38
  - The way how and why Contraction Hierarchies works became much clearer in the last lecture
  - Again, not a lot of code
  - Easy to make lots of small mistakes
    - which don't show in the unit tests on small examples
    - which cause the number of shortcuts to explode in the end
  - Many could not fix all those mistakes ... frustrating

LNI FREI

# Results for Ex. Sheet 7 (CH, Part 2)

#### Summary

- Very fast precomputation:  $\sim 1$  minute even on BaWü
- Number of shortcuts ~ 2 million for BaWü
  - that's about the order of the number of arcs in the original graph, which is ok
- Query times around 1 millisecond
- All in all, clearly the best algorithm so far
- BUT: also the hardest to implement
  - not a lot of code, but small mistakes can make everything fail ... and are hard to find (because they don't show in simple test cases)

Z Z Z Z Z Transit Node Routing 1/6

The underlying very simple observation

- When you go from your home to somewhere far away:
  - then the initial portion of your route will be one of a few standard routes
- Let's look at a few examples on Google Maps
- How can we use this to speed up shortest path queries?

Transit Node Routing 2/6

We want to have the following

- For each pair of nodes u and v a "far-away" criterion
  Far(u, v) that yields true or false
  - Intuitively, if Far(u, v) = true then u and v are "far away"
- For each node u sets X(u) and Y(u) of access nodes such that
  - For all v with Far(u, v) = true  $\rightarrow$  exists x  $\in$  X(u) on SP(u,v)
  - For all w with  $Far(w, u) = true \rightarrow exists y \in Y(u) \text{ on } SP(w, u)$
  - Intuitively: when you go from u to somewhere "far away", you will pass through one of the X(u) ... and same for Y(u) when you go to u from somewhere "far away"
- Note: for symmetric graphs, going from and going to is the same and X(u) = Y(u)

**N** 



UNI FREIBURG

#### Precomputation — Basic idea

- Compute "something" such that Far(u, v) can be evaluated quickly for given u and v
- Compute and store the X(u) and Y(u) for each node u, as well as dist(u, x) for each x  $\in X(u)$  and dist(y, u) for each y  $\in Y(u)$

• These are  $\Sigma_u (|X(u)| + |Y(u)|)$  nodes and distances

- Compute and store the unions  $X = U_u X(u)$  and  $Y = U_u Y(u)$ and the dist(x, y) for all pairs x and y with x  $\in X$  and y  $\in Y$ 
  - These are  $|X| \cdot |Y|$  distances
  - Our goal will be that both |X| and |Y| are on the order of √n and not n, so that |X| · |Y| = O(n)

Processing a query from s to t — Details

- If Far(s, t) = false, compute dist(s, t) with another algorithm, for example ordinary Dijkstra; otherwise:
- Fetch the set X(s) and the dist(s, x) for all  $x \in X(s)$
- Fetch the set Y(t) and the dist(y, t) for all  $y \in Y(t)$
- Fetch the d(x, y) for all x, y with  $x \in X(s)$  and  $y \in Y(t)$
- Compute the minimum dist(s, x) + dist(x, y) + dist(y, t) over all x, y with  $x \in X(s)$  and  $y \in Y(t)$ 
  - this is the minimum over  $|X(s)| \cdot |Y(t)|$  terms
  - in practice |X(s)| and |Y(t)| can be made as small as
    5 on average ... this gives extremely fast query times

#### Efficiency

- Goal 1: Far(u, v) should be very cheap to evaluate, and if
  Far(u, v) = false then SP(u, v) should be very cheap to compute
  - Then we can easily determine whether we have to resort to the fallback algorithm, and if so, it will be very cheap
- Goal 2: X(u) and Y(u) should be  $\leq$  a small C for (almost) all u
  - Then the X(u) and Y(u) and the distances to / from them can be stored in ~ C · n space, and queries can be processed in time C<sup>2</sup>
- Goal 3:  $|X = U_u X(u)|$  and  $|Y = U_v Y(v)|$  are  $O(\sqrt{n})$ 
  - then the pairwise distances dist(x, y) with x ∈ X and Y ∈ Y can be stored in O(n) space

ZW





Geometric Precomputation 3/3

#### Resource requirements

- Small sets of access and transit nodes
- But precomputation time comparable to that for arc flags (we need a Dijkstra for each boundary node of each cell)
- There are various tricks to make this faster
- And we can also make it hierarchical ... see later slide
- See the references for details
- But let's now look at a precomputation based on CH

REI

#### Basic idea

- Do the CH precomputation on the given graph
- Let X = Y be the set of nodes with ordering number above a certain threshold T (we want  $|X| = |Y| \sim \sqrt{n}$ )
- For each node u in the graph do a forward search in the upward graph, and for each settled node v compute the first node x ∈ X on SP(u, v) if any; let X(u) be the union of all these x
- Similarly, compute Y(v) for each node v in the graph via a backward search in the downward graph

**N** 





#### "Far-away" criterion

- Along with the computation of X(u) ... see picture on slide 15
  - Compute the maximal geometric distance Radius(u) of a node v where SP(u, v) does not contain a node from X(u)
- Define Far(u, v) = true if and only if the geometric distance from u to v is > Radius(u)
- We can also do the same for Y(v) and thus possibly further improve our "far-away" criterion
- For more refined "far-away" criteria, see papers in references
  - Note: the "far-away" criterion is called locality criterion there with exactly the opposite meaning ... quite confusing

N III

#### Precomputation

 Just do the CH precomputation and pick as transit nodes the T nodes contracted last ... it's really that simple

#### Access nodes

- For symmetric graph, X(u) = Y(u), that is we only need to compute **one** set of access nodes per set
- For each u, you need to find the transit nodes on all shortest paths starting at u (in the upwards graph)
- For each settled label, just **backtrack** the parent pointers
- Beware: no need to backtrack further from a node which you have already seen before in the backtracking ... complexity should be #arcs in the SP tree

REI

#### Simplification for Ex. Sheet 8

- For a fully functional TNR you would need to precompute and store **all** X(u) and **all** dist(u, x), x  $\in X(u)$  to them
- Similarly you would need to precompute and store all dist(t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>) for all pairs of transit nodes t<sub>1</sub> and t<sub>2</sub>
- For BaWü you would probably run into memory problems
- Instead do the following at query time, for given  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{s}}$  and  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{t}}$ 
  - compute X(s) and all dist(s, x),  $x \in X(s)$
  - compute X(t) and all  $dist(x, t), x \in X(t)$
  - compute all dist( $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ) where  $x_1 \in X(s)$  and  $x_2 \in X(t)$
- But ignore the time for these three items when you benchmark the query time

#### TNR can be made hierarchical, too

- Here is an explanation for two levels of transit nodes
- For each node, precompute and store the distances to the "closest" level-1 transit nodes (that is, the first level-1 transit nodes on paths to anywhere else)
- For each level-1 transit node, precompute and store the distances to the "closest" level-2 transit nodes
- Precompute and store the distances between all pairs of level-2 transit nodes
- For a query from s to t, now try all combination of (s, x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>, t), where x<sub>1</sub> and y<sub>1</sub> are the level-1 access nodes of s and t, respectively, and x<sub>2</sub> and y<sub>2</sub> are the level-2 access nodes of the respective x<sub>1</sub> and y<sub>1</sub>

N N N

#### Why does this make sense?

- We need the pairwise distances only for the level-2 transit nodes
- Therefore we can have more level-1 transit nodes and hence a better locality criterion = local searches needed only when s and t are very close together
- But we have to try out more combinations at query time
- Can be generalized to an arbitrary number of levels
- Experiments suggest 5 levels for the road network of a whole continent (Western Europe or the US)
- See the references for details

ZW

### References

UNI FREIBURG

Transit Node Routing, original paper Ultrafast Shortest-Path Queries Via Transit Nodes Bast, Funke, Matijevic, DIMACS Shortest Path Challenge http://www.mpi-inf.mpg.de/~bast/papers/transit-dimacs.pdf Transit Node Routing, based on HH and CH PhD thesis from Dominik Schultes (HH), Chapter 6 http://algo2.iti.kit.edu/schultes/hwy/schultes\_diss.pdf Master thesis from Robert Geisberger (CH), Section 4.2 http://algo2.iti.kit.edu/documents/routeplanning/geisberger\_dipl.pdf Transit Node Routing, article in Science Magazine Fast Routing in Road Networks with Transit Nodes http://www.sciencemag.org/content/316/5824/566.short